

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/07/2005

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO.           |  |
|-----------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--|
| 10/606,048      | 06/25/2003       | Ju-Cheol Shin        | 5649-1122           | 5649-1122 3807<br>EXAMINER |  |
| 20792 7         | 590 01/07/2005   |                      | EXAM                |                            |  |
| MYERS BIG       | EL SIBLEY & SAJO | WEISS, HOWARD        |                     |                            |  |
| PO BOX 3742     | 8                |                      |                     |                            |  |
| RALEIGH, N      | C 27627          |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER               |  |
|                 |                  | •                    | 2814                |                            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  |  | De  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |   |  |  |  |
| Advisory Action   | 10/606,048   | SHIN ET AL.  |   |  |  |  |
|   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |   |  |  |  |
|   | Howard Weiss   | 2814   |   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence add   | ress  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 15 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   | void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi  | cation. A proper rep<br>ch places the applic   | oly to a<br>cation in   |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR RE   | EPLY [check either a) or b)]   |  |   |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of   | •  |  |   |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data are been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened by above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three magarned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o<br>FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI<br>te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1<br>sion and the corresponding amount of the<br>I statutory period for reply originally set in | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S I 36(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or | See MPEP e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b  | ecause:  |  |   |  |  |  |
| (a)   they raise new issues that would require furth  | er consideration and/or search (   | (see NOTE below);  |   |  |  |  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I  | (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);   |  |   |  |  |  |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or  | in better form for appeal by mat   | erially reducing or s  | simplifying the   |  |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel   | ling a corresponding number of   | finally rejected clair   | ns.   |  |  |  |
| NOTE:   |  | ^  |   |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection   | ction(s):  |  |   |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would<br/>canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  | l be allowable if submitted in a s   | separate, timely filed   | d amendment   |  |  |  |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se   |  | sidered but does NC  | OT place the  |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  | cause it is not directed SOLELY  | to issues which we   | re newly  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w   |  |  | and an  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,5,7,10 and 12.   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app  | proved or b) disapproved by  | the Examiner.  |   |  |  |  |
| 9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme  | ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).   | 1/1  |   |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ Other:  |  | Howard Weiss<br>Primary Examiner<br>Art Unit: 2814   |   |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicants' arguments were not persuasive. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).